

Summary of progress against 2020/21 ISA 260 (External Auditor's) Recommendations

as at 26 April 2023

No.	Recommendation	Priority	Progress
	 No further action; Fully implemented; Partially implemented; 	Outstanding	
1.1	The Commissioner's Office should include the monitoring of the actual savings achieved against targets in the monthly	Low	•
	financial monitoring reports.		
1.2	An independent internal audit function should be appointed	High	•
	via an open and transparent tender process as a matter of		
	urgency to provide challenge and scrutiny of the Commissioner's Office.		
2.1		Medium	
2.1	The budget process should be reviewed and formalised to have greater involvement from SMT as a whole including	wedium	•
	recommending the budget for submission to the SPCB. Wider		
	staff engagement should also be carried out through business		
	and financial planning to enable them to understand how they		
	contribute to the objectives of the budget.		
2.2	Financial monitoring arrangements should be utilised to	High	•
_	identify and respond to unexpected variances as a result of		
	the lack of business planning. A business plan should be put		
	in place for 2021/22 as a matter of priority.		
2.3	A medium-term financial plan should be prepared, including,	Medium	•
	but not limited to, alternative scenarios of expected income		
	and expenditure in future years, associated assumptions for		
	each scenario, quantifying the funding gap, to then be able to		
	plan effectively for the medium to longer term. Through these		
	plans, we would expect to see consideration of the impact of		
	leaving the EU, the Covid-19 pandemic and other expected changes.		
2.4	Workforce planning should outline the current workforce, the	High	•
2.7	future workforce and how the organisation can achieve its	1 11911	
	required future workforce. It should also include workforce		
	statistics covering not just the headcount but also the skills		
	required. Audit Scotland has produced reports on workforce		
	planning in the NHS in Scotland, identifying key areas which		
	need to be considered for effective workforce planning.		
	Although not directly applicable, the overarching principles		
	here should be used to guide the Commissioner's Office		
	workforce planning. Staff to be recruited and inducted.		
2.5	We recommend that a formal staff survey is held both in	High	_
	response to the Covid-19 pandemic, including the future of		
	work, but also on a regular basis. This would allow		
	employees to submit responses anonymously which could have an impact on engagement and responses given the		
	small nature of the organisation. It also allows comparisons		
	using trend data over time.		
2.6	The Commissioner's Office should recruit into current vacant	High	•
	roles as a matter of priority and begin cross skilling teams to	· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ensure resilience, particularly within the investigations team.		
	It should also consider whether temporary resource is		
	required to support the SMT in the Commissioner's absence.		

Ethical Standards Commissioner

No.	Recommendation	Priority	Progress
0.4	 No further action; Fully implemented; Partially implemented; 		
3.1	An independent review of the implementation of the	High	•
	restructure should be carried out to assess whether the		
	current structure is fit for purpose. This should include the		
	scheme of delegation and standing orders to ensure		
	operations can continue in the absence of the Commissioner.		
	These governance documents should be updated to reflect		
	the expectation that all decisions are dealt with in a		
	collaborative way with key items being decided by the SMT		
	group.		
3.2	The Commissioner's Office should introduce a formal training	High	•
	programme for all staff varying by role. This should include a		
	combination of internal and external training. This should be		
	considered in conjunction with the review and updating of all		
	policies and procedures.		
3.3	The whistleblowing policy should be reviewed to make it fit for	High	•
	purpose. It must then be communicated to all staff members		
	to improve awareness.		
3.4 a)	We recommend that a full investigations manual is	High	•
,	constructed and the assessment criteria are removed so that		
	the process complies with the legislation.		
3.4 b)	Following this, all eligibility decisions and investigations	High	•
,	carried out since August 2020 should be reviewed by an		
	appropriate external investigator.		
3.4 c)	Once this review has been undertaken, we recommend that		•
0 0,	all statistics produced and reporting made in relation to		
	investigations is redrafted and submitted to the relevant		
	stakeholder including but not limited to the Standards		
	Commission and Parliamentary committee's using		
	information directly from the CMS system.		
3.5	We recommend that the AAB is re-engaged and that there is	High	•
0.0	engagement on a regular basis.	' ''9''	
3.6	We recommend that the Commissioner's Office returns to the	High	•
0.0	previous methods of managing risk by reintroducing a risk	Tilgit	
	register and risk management policy. The risk management		
	policy should then be reviewed annually and the risk register		
	quarterly. Engagement should be made on a regular basis		
	with AAB members to review the risk management approach		
	and risk register. We would recommend that internal auditors		
2 7	(once appointed) also perform a review in this area.	Lligh	
3.7	SMT meetings should be formalised with an agenda and	High	_
	formal minutes taken to record both the discussions		
	undertaken and the decisions made. Any decisions made		
	outside of these meetings should recorded within the minute.		
	Minutes should be made available to staff and be published		
	on the website in line with the publication scheme.		
3.8	Given the direction of travel and the expectation for public	Low	•
	sector organisations to demonstrate continuous improvement		
	on openness and transparency we recommend that the		
	Commissioner's Office considers holding public meetings.		
3.9 a)	The Commissioners' Office need to urgently review all	High	•
	policies and procedures in place. Although the organisation is		FOI Policy
	aware of this it should be treated with a higher priority level.		O/S.



No.	Recommendation	Priority	Progress	
 No further action; Fully implemented; Partially implemented; Outstanding 				
3.9 b)	It also needs to review its compliance with its own publication scheme. All information that can be published online should be to enable openness and transparency for the public, staff and stakeholders. The Commissioner's Office should use the openness and transparency guidance available from Audit Scotland.	High	•	
4.1	A performance management framework should be implemented to include processes to monitor the organisations performance against key performance indicators. The targets should be set in order to achieve the outcomes in the strategic and business plans. This information should be monitored on a quarterly basis and shared widely with staff so that they understand how they contribute to the performance of the organisation.	High	•	
4.2 a)	Eligibility statistics should be monitored and compared with other similar complaints investigation bodies or historic ESC statistics on a regular basis to identify the impact of changes in processes.	High	•	
4.2 b)	See also recommendation on removing the assessment criteria process from eligibility.	High	•	

Actions relating to SPCB				
5.1	The Commissioner's Office should engage with the SPCB and Parliament to determine the reporting route for concerns about a Commissioner.	High	•	
5.2	We recommend that the governance structures in place for this type of organisation are reviewed the Commissioner's Office needs to engage with the SPCB and Parliament to identify improvements. This should include improved communications between the different organisations who are involved in the governance of the organisation and stakeholders.	High	•	
5.3	In view of the wider governance issues identified in this report, we recommend that the SPCB, in consultation with the Commissioner and other Officeholders, review whether the governance structure in place remains sufficient and appropriate.	High	•	